

NUMERICAL METHODS FOR SOLVING SPACE FRACTIONAL PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY USING HADAMARD FINITE-PART INTEGRAL APPROACH

YANYONG WANG, YUBIN YAN, AND YE HU

ABSTRACT. We introduce a novel numerical method for solving two-sided space fractional partial differential equation in two dimensional case. The approximation of the space fractional Riemann-Liouville derivative is based on the approximation of the Hadamard finite-part integral which has the convergence order $O(h^{3-\alpha})$, where h is the space step size and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ is the order of Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Based on this scheme, we introduce a shifted finite difference method for solving space fractional partial differential equation. We obtained the error estimates with the convergence orders $O(\tau + h^{3-\alpha} + h^\beta)$, where τ is the time step size and $\beta > 0$ is a parameter which measures the smoothness of the fractional derivatives of the solution of the equation. Unlike the numerical methods for solving space fractional partial differential equation constructed by using the standard shifted Grünwald-Letnikov formula or higher order Lubich's methods which require the solution of the equation satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in order to get the first order convergence, the numerical method for solving space fractional partial differential equation constructed by using Hadamard finite-part integral approach does not require the solution of the equation satisfies the Dirichlet homogeneous boundary condition. Numerical results show that the experimentally determined convergence order obtained by using the Hadamard finite-part integral approach for solving space fractional partial differential equation with non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions is indeed higher than the convergence order obtained by using the numerical methods constructed with the standard shifted Grünwald-Letnikov formula or Lubich's higher order approximation schemes.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative; Space fractional partial differential equation; Error estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following space fractional partial differential equation, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$, $0 < t < T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & u_t(t, x, y) = {}^R_0D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_xD_1^\alpha u(t, x, y) \\
 & + {}^R_0D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_yD_1^\alpha u(t, x, y) + f(t, x, y), \\
 (2) \quad & u(t, 0, y) = \varphi_1(t, y), \quad u(t, 1, y) = \varphi_2(t, y), \\
 (3) \quad & u(t, x, 0) = \psi_1(t, x), \quad u(t, x, 1) = \psi_2(t, x), \\
 (4) \quad & u(0, x, y) = u_0(x, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where f is a source/sink term and $u_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$ are well defined initial and boundary values, respectively. Here the Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional derivative ${}^R_0D_x^\alpha f(x)$ is defined by

$$(5) \quad {}^R_0D_x^\alpha g(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_0^x (x-\xi)^{1-\alpha} g(\xi) d\xi.$$

Similarly we may define the Riemann-Liouville right-sided fractional derivative as follows:

$$(6) \quad {}^R_xD_1^\alpha g(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_x^1 (\xi-x)^{1-\alpha} g(\xi) d\xi.$$

There are several ways to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative in literature. Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] used the Grünwald-Letnikov formula to obtain the first order scheme $O(h)$ to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Lubich [2] introduced the higher order schemes with order $O(h^p)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Diethelm [3]-[4] obtained the scheme to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative with the convergence order $O(h^{2-\alpha})$, $0 < \alpha < 2$ by using Hadamard finite-part integral approach, see other higher order schemes to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative in Li and Zeng [5].

Based on the different schemes for approximating the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, many numerical methods are introduced for solving space fractional partial differential equation (1)-(4): finite difference methods [6]-[27], finite element methods, [28]-[38], and spectral methods [39]-[40]. Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] introduced a shifted finite difference method based on the Grünwald-Letnikov formula for solving two-sided space fractional partial differential equation in one-dimensional case and proved that the convergence order of the

numerical method is $O(h)$. Meerschaert and Tadjeran [17] also considered the finite difference method for solving fractional advection-dispersion equation in one dimensional case by using the Grünwald-Letnikov formula. The second order shifted finite difference methods for solving fractional partial differential equations based on the Grünwald-Letnikov formula are discussed in both one and two dimensional cases in Tadjeran, Meerschaert and Scheffler [20] and Tadjeran and Meerschaert [21]. Now we turn to the Lubich's higher order schemes. When Lubich's higher order schemes with no shifts are applied for solving space fractional partial differential equations, the obtained finite difference methods are unstable as for using the Grünwald-Letnikov formula. With shifted Lubich higher order methods, it shows that the corresponding numerical methods for solving space fractional partial differential equations have only first order accuracy, see [6], [22]. In [5, Section 2.2], Li and Zeng introduced other higher order schemes, for example, L2, L2C schemes, to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no works available in literature to use the L2, L2C methods for solving space fractional partial differential equations. The numerical methods discussed in [5, Chapter 4] for solving space fractional partial differential equations are also based on the Grünwald-Letnikov formula and Lubich's higher order schemes, see other recent works for solving space fractional partial differential equations in, e.g., [13], [6], [26], [28], [29], [29], [30], [27], [14], [12], etc. All the numerical methods constructed by using Grünwald-Letnikov formula or Lubich's higher order methods for solving space fractional partial differential equations require the solution of the equation satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Otherwise, the experimentally determined convergence orders of such numerical methods are very low, e.g., see Table 4 in Example 2 in Section 3. Therefore it is interesting to design some numerical methods which have the higher order convergence for solving space fractional partial differential equation with respect to both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The purpose of this paper is to introduce such finite difference methods for solving space fractional partial differential equation.

Recently, Ford et al. [8] considered the finite difference method for solving space fractional partial differential equation in one dimensional case where the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is approximated by using the Hadamard finite-part integral, see also [9], [10], [24]. The convergence order $O(\tau + h^{3-\alpha} + h^\beta)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $\beta > 0$ of the numerical method in [8] is proved in the maximum norm for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. In this paper we

will extend the method in Ford et al. [8] to solve space fractional partial differential equations in two dimensional case. The corresponding error estimates in this paper are proved by using a completely different way from Ford et al. [8]. The error estimates with the convergence order $O(\tau + h^{3-\alpha} + h^\beta)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $\beta > 0$ hold for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- (1) A new finite difference method for solving space fractional partial differential equations in two dimensional case is introduced and the convergence order is $O(\tau + h^\beta + h^{3-\alpha})$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $\beta > 0$ where the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is approximated by using the Hadamard-finite part integral approach.
- (2) The convergence order of the finite difference method introduced in this paper is valid for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the shifted finite difference methods for solving (1)-(4) and the error estimates are proved. In Section 3, we consider four numerical examples in both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions with the different smoothness for the solution of the equation and show that the numerical results are consistent with the theoretical analysis.

2. THE FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD

In this section, we shall extend the method in Ford et al. [8] for solving space fractional partial differential equation in one dimensional case to solve space fractional partial differential equation (1)-(4) in two dimensional case. For simplicity with the notations, we also assume that the boundary values are equal to 0, i.e., $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0$.

We have,

Lemma 1. [8, Lemma 2.1] Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and let $M = 2m_0$ where m_0 is a fixed positive integer. Let $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{2j} < x_{2j+1} < \cdots < x_M = 1$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$. Assume that $g \in C^3[0, 1]$ is a sufficiently smooth function. Then, with $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^R_0D_x^\alpha g(x) \Big|_{x=x_{2j}} &= \frac{x_{2j}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2j} \alpha_{l,2j} g(x_{2j-l}) + R_{2j}(g) \right) \\ &= h^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{2j} w_{l,2j} g(x_{2j-l}) + \frac{x_{2j}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} R_{2j}(g), \end{aligned}$$

and, with $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^R D_x^\alpha g(x) \Big|_{x=x_{2j+1}} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^{x_1} (x_{2j+1} - \xi)^{-1-\alpha} g(\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{x_{2j+1}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2j} \alpha_{l,2j+1} g(x_{2j+1-l}) + R_{2j+1}(g) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^{x_1} (x_{2j+1} - \xi)^{-1-\alpha} g(\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + h^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{2j} w_{l,2j+1} g(x_{2j+1-l}) + \frac{x_{2j+1}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} R_{2j+1}(g),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\alpha)(-\alpha+1)(-\alpha+2)(2j)^{-\alpha} \alpha_{l,2j} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2^{-\alpha}(\alpha+2), & \text{for } l=0, \\ (-\alpha)2^{2-\alpha}, & \text{for } l=1, \\ (-\alpha)(-2^{-\alpha}\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}F_0(2), & \text{for } l=2, \\ -F_1(k), & \text{for } l=2k-1, \quad k=2,3,\dots,j, \\ \frac{1}{2}(F_2(k) + F_0(k+1)), & \text{for } l=2k, \quad k=2,3,\dots,j-1, \\ \frac{1}{2}F_2(j), & \text{for } l=2j, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(k) &= (2k-1)(2k)((2k)^{-\alpha} - (2(k-1))^{-\alpha})(-\alpha+1)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad - ((2k-1) + 2k)((2k)^{-\alpha+1} - (2(k-1))^{-\alpha+1})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad + ((2k)^{-\alpha+2} - (2(k-1))^{-\alpha+2})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(k) &= (2k-2)(2k)((2k)^{-\alpha} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha})(-\alpha+1)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad - ((2k-2) + 2k)((2k)^{-\alpha+1} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha+1})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad + ((2k)^{-\alpha+2} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha+2})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(k) &= (2k-2)(2k-1)((2k)^{-\alpha} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha})(-\alpha+1)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad - ((2k-2) + (2k-1))((2k)^{-\alpha+1} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha+1})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+2) \\
&\quad + ((2k)^{-\alpha+2} - (2k-2)^{-\alpha+2})(-\alpha)(-\alpha+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Further we have, with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2j$,

$$(7) \quad \Gamma(3-\alpha)w_{l,2j} = (-\alpha)(-\alpha+1)(-\alpha+2)(2j)^{-\alpha} \alpha_{l,2j},$$

and

$$(8) \quad \alpha_{l,2j+1} = \alpha_{l,2j}, \quad w_{l,2j+1} = w_{l,2j}.$$

The remainder term $R_l(g)$ satisfies, for every $g \in C^3(0, 1)$,

$$|R_l(g)| \leq Ch^{3-\alpha} \|g'''\|_\infty, \quad l = 2, 3, 4, \dots, M, \quad \text{with } M = 2m_0.$$

Similarly we may consider the approximation of the right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative ${}_x^R D_1^\alpha g(x)$ at $x = x_l, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m_0 - 2$. Using the same argument as for the approximation of ${}_0^R D_x^\alpha f(x)$ at $x = x_l$, we can show that, with $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 1$,

$${}_x^R D_1^\alpha g(x) \Big|_{x=x_{2j}} = h^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{M-2j} w_{l,M-2j} g(x_{2j+l}) + \frac{x_{2j}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} R_{2j}(g),$$

and, with $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_x^R D_1^\alpha g(x) \Big|_{x=x_{2j+1}} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{x_{M-1}}^{x_M} (\xi - x_{2j+1})^{-1-\alpha} g(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ h^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{M-(2j+1)-1} w_{l,M-(2j+1)} g(x_{2j+1+l}) + \frac{x_{2j+1}^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} R_{2j+1}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = 2m_0$. Let $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_j < \dots < x_M = 1$ and $0 = y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_j < \dots < y_M = 1$ be the partitions of $[0, 1]$ and h the space step size. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \dots < t_N = T$ be the time partition of $[0, T]$ and τ the time step size. At the point (t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) , where l, m will be specified later, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) &= {}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) + {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) \\ (9) \quad &+ {}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) + {}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) + f_{l,m}^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{l,m}^{n+1} = f(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m)$.

To obtain a stable finite difference method, we will consider the following shifted equation,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) &- {}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_{l+1}, y_m) - {}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_{l-1}, y_m) \\ (10) \quad &- {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m+1}) - {}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m-1}) = f_{l,m}^{n+1} + \sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the errors produced by the shifted terms are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{l,m}^{n+1} &= {}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) - {}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_{l+1}, y_m) \\ &+ {}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) - {}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_{l-1}, y_m) \\ &+ {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) - {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m+1}) \\ (11) \quad &+ {}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) - {}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that ${}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y), {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y)$ satisfy the following Hölder conditions.

Assumption 1. For any $x^*, x^{**}, y^*, y^{**} \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t, x^*, y) - {}_0^R D_x^\alpha u(t, x^{**}, y)| &\leq C|x^* - x^{**}|^\beta, \\ |{}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y^*) - {}_0^R D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y^{**})| &\leq C|y^* - y^{**}|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that ${}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x, y)$, ${}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x, y)$ satisfy the following Hölder conditions.

Assumption 2. For any $x^*, x^{**}, y^*, y^{**} \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x^*, y) - {}_x^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x^{**}, y)| &\leq C|x^* - x^{**}|^\beta, \\ |{}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x, y^*) - {}_y^R D_1^\alpha u(t, x, y^{**})| &\leq C|y^* - y^{**}|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. In order to make the Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, we need to assume that the solution u satisfies some regularity conditions. In some circumstances, such conditions are easy to check, for example, when ${}_0^R D_x^\alpha v(x) \in C^1[0, 1]$, we have, with $\beta = 1$,

$$|{}_0^R D_x^\alpha v(x^*) - {}_0^R D_x^\alpha v(x^{**})| \leq C|x^* - x^{**}|^\beta.$$

Similarly we can consider ${}_x^R D_1^\alpha v(x)$.

We now turn to the discretization scheme of (10). Discretizing u_t at $t = t_{n+1}$ by using the backward Euler method and discretizing ${}_0^R D_x^\alpha$, ${}_x^R D_1^\alpha$, ${}_0^R D_y^\alpha$, ${}_y^R D_1^\alpha$ at $x = x_{l+1}$, $x = x_{l-1}$, $y = y_{m+1}$, $y = y_{m-1}$ respectively by using the Diethem's finite difference method introduced in Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau^{-1} (u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_m) - u(t_n, x_l, y_m)) \\ &- h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(1)}} w_{k,l+1}^{(1)} u(t_{n+1}, x_{l+1-k}, y_m) - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(1)}} w_{k,m+1}^{(2)} u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m+1-k}) \\ &- h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} u(t_{n+1}, x_{l-1+k}, y_m) - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} u(t_{n+1}, x_l, y_{m-1+k}) \\ (12) \\ &= f_{l,m}^{n+1} + S_{l,m}^{n+1} + O(\tau + h^\beta + h^{3-\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{l,m}^{n+1}$ can be defined as S_{2j}^{n+1} in [8, (27)] and the weights

$w_{k,l+1}^{(1)}$, $w_{k,m+1}^{(2)}$, $w_{k,M-(l-1)}^{(3)}$, $w_{k,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}$ in (12) are defined by (7) and (8). Further, we denote $l_i^{(1)}$, $l_i^{(2)}$, $m_j^{(1)}$, $m_j^{(2)}$ by the following, with $i =$

$1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 1,$

$$l_i^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 2i, & l = 2i, \\ 2i + 2, & l = 2i + 1, \end{cases} \quad m_j^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 2j, & m = 2j, \\ 2j + 2, & m = 2j + 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$l_i^{(2)} = \begin{cases} M - (2i - 1) - 1, & l = 2i, \\ M - 2i, & l = 2i + 1, \end{cases} \quad m_j^{(2)} = \begin{cases} M - (2j - 1) - 1, & m = 2j, \\ M - 2j, & m = 2j + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

Lemma 2. [8, Lemma 2.3] Let $1 < \alpha < 2$. The coefficients $w_{k,2p}^{(s)}$, $s = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, m_0$ defined in (12) satisfy

$$(13) \quad w_{1,2p}^{(s)} < 0,$$

$$(14) \quad w_{k,2p}^{(s)} > 0, \quad k \neq 1, \quad k = 0, 2, 3, \dots, 2p,$$

$$(15) \quad \Gamma(3 - \alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{2p} w_{k,2p}^{(s)} < 0.$$

Let $U_{l,m}^n \approx u(t_n, x_l, y_m)$ denote the approximate solution of $u(t_n, x_l, y_m)$. We define the following finite difference method for solving (1)-(4).

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{-1} (U_{l,m}^{n+1} - U_{l,m}^n) \\ & - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(1)}} w_{k,l+1}^{(1)} U_{l+1-k,m}^{n+1} - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(1)}} w_{k,m+1}^{(2)} U_{l,m+1-k}^{n+1} \\ & - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} U_{l-1+k,m}^{n+1} - h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} U_{l,m-1+k}^{n+1} \\ (16) \quad & = f_{l,m}^{n+1} + Q_{l,m}^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{l,m}^{n+1}$ is some approximation of $S_{l,m}^{n+1}$, defined as in [8, (30)] which satisfies

$$Q_{l,m}^{n+1} - S_{l,m}^{n+1} = O(h^{3-\alpha}).$$

Now we come to our main theorem in this work.

Theorem 1. Assume that $u(t_n, x_l, y_m)$ and $U_{l,m}^n$ are the solutions of (12) and (16), respectively. Assume that Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. Then there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\|e^n\| = \|U^n - u(t_n)\| \leq C(\tau + h^{3-\alpha} + h^\beta).$$

Proof. Let $e_{l,m}^n = u(t_n, x_l, y_m) - U_{l,m}^n$. Subtracting (16) from (12), we get the following error equation, with $\lambda = \tau/h^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (e_{l,m}^{n+1} - e_{l,m}^n) - \lambda \left(\sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(1)}} w_{k,l+1}^{(1)} e_{l+1-k,m}^{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(1)}} w_{k,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,m+1-k}^{n+1} \right) \\
 (17) \\
 - \lambda \left(\sum_{k=0}^{l_i^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{l-1+k,m}^{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m_j^{(2)}} w_{k,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,m-1+k}^{n+1} \right) = \tau R_{l,m}^{n+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{l,m}^{n+1} = O(\tau + h^\beta + h^{3-\alpha}).$$

Rearranging (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \lambda \omega_{1,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} - \lambda \omega_{1,m+1}^{(2)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} \right) e_{l,m}^{n+1} \\
 & - \lambda \left(\sum_{k=2}^{l+1} \omega_{k,l+1}^{(1)} e_{l+1-k,m}^{n+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{M-(l-1)} \omega_{k,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{l-1+k,m}^{n+1} \right) \\
 (18) \\
 & - \lambda \left(\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \omega_{k,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,m+1-k}^{n+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{M-(m-1)} \omega_{k,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,m-1+k}^{n+1} \right) \\
 & - \lambda \omega_{0,l+1}^{(1)} e_{l+1,m}^{n+1} - \lambda \omega_{0,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{l-1,m}^{n+1} - \lambda \omega_{0,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,m+1}^{n+1} - \lambda \omega_{0,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,m-1}^{n+1} \\
 & = \tau R_{l,m}^n + e_{l,m}^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \lambda \omega_{1,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} - \lambda \omega_{1,m+1}^{(2)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} \right) e_{l,m}^{n+1} \\
 & - \lambda \omega_{0,l+1}^{(1)} e_{l+1,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,l+1}^{(1)} e_{l-1,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{l+1,l+1}^{(1)} e_{0,m}^{n+1} \\
 & - \lambda w_{0,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,m+1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,m-1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{m+1,m+1}^{(2)} e_{l,0}^{n+1} \\
 (19) \\
 & - \lambda \omega_{0,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{l-1,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda \omega_{2,M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{l+1,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda \omega_{M-(l-1),M-(l-1)}^{(3)} e_{M,m}^{n+1} \\
 & - \lambda \omega_{0,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,m-1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda \omega_{2,M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,m+1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda \omega_{M-(m-1),M-(m-1)}^{(4)} e_{l,M}^{n+1} \\
 & = e_{l,m}^n + \tau R_{l,m}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, we have, for $l = 1, m = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,m+1}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M-(m-1)}^{(4)})e_{1,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(1)}e_{2,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,2}^{(1)}e_{0,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda w_{0,m+1}^{(2)}e_{1,m+1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,m+1}^{(2)}e_{1,m-1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{m+1,m+1}^{(2)}e_{1,0}^{n+1} \\
(20) \quad & - \lambda\omega_{0,M}^{(3)}e_{0,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,M}^{(3)}e_{2,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda\omega_{M,M}^{(3)}e_{M,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{1,m-1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{1,m+1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda\omega_{M-(m-1),M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{1,M}^{n+1} \\
& = e_{1,m}^n + \tau R_{1,m}^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $l = 2, m = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \lambda\omega_{1,3}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M-1}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,m+1}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M-(m-1)}^{(4)})e_{2,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,3}^{(1)}e_{3,m}^{n+1} - 0 \\
& - \lambda w_{0,m+1}^{(2)}e_{2,m+1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,m+1}^{(2)}e_{2,m-1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{m+1,m+1}^{(2)}e_{2,0}^{n+1} \\
(21) \quad & - \lambda\omega_{0,M-1}^{(3)}e_{1,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,M-1}^{(3)}e_{3,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda\omega_{M-1,M-1}^{(3)}e_{M,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{2,m-1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{2,m+1}^{n+1} \\
& - \dots - \lambda\omega_{M-(m-1),M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{2,M}^{n+1} \\
& = e_{2,m}^n + \tau R_{2,m}^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In general, for $l = M - 1, m = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,m+1}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M-(m-1)}^{(4)})e_{M-1,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,M}^{(1)}e_{M,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,M-1}^{(1)}e_{M-2,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{M,M-1}^{(1)}e_{0,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda w_{0,m+1}^{(2)}e_{M-1,m+1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda w_{2,m+1}^{(2)}e_{M-1,m-1}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda w_{m+1,m+1}^{(2)}e_{M-1,0}^{n+1} \\
(22) \quad & - \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(3)}e_{M-2,m}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,2}^{(3)}e_{M,m}^{n+1} - \dots - \lambda\omega_{2,2}^{(3)}e_{M,m}^{n+1} \\
& - \lambda\omega_{0,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{M-1,m-1}^{n+1} - 0 - \lambda\omega_{2,M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{M-1,m+1}^{n+1} \\
& - \dots - \lambda\omega_{M-(m-1),M-(m-1)}^{(4)}e_{M-1,M}^{n+1} \\
& = e_{l,m}^n + \tau R_{l,m}^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we may write (18) as the following matrix form

$$(23) \quad Ae^{n+1} = e^n + \tau R^{n+1},$$

where

$$e^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^{n+1} \\ e_2^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{M-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1^{n+1} \\ R_2^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ R_{M-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_l^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{l,1}^{n+1} \\ e_{l,2}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{l,M-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_l^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{l,1}^{n+1} \\ R_{l,2}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ R_{l,M-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, M-1,$$

and

$$A = (a_{i,j})_{(M-1)^2 \times (M-1)^2} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & \dots & A_{1,M-1} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & \dots & A_{2,M-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{M-1,1} & A_{M-1,2} & \dots & A_{M-1,M-1} \end{pmatrix}_{(M-1)^2 \times (M-1)^2}.$$

Here

$$A_{l,l} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & -\lambda w_{0,2}^{(2)} - \lambda w_{2,M}^{(4)} & -\lambda w_{3,M}^{(4)} & \dots & -\lambda w_{M-1,M}^{(4)} \\ -\lambda w_{2,3}^{(2)} - \lambda w_{0,M-1}^{(4)} & a_{22} & -\lambda w_{0,3}^{(2)} - \lambda w_{2,M-1}^{(4)} & \dots & -\lambda w_{M-2,M-1}^{(4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\lambda w_{M-1,M}^{(2)} & -\lambda w_{M-2,M}^{(2)} & -\lambda w_{2,M}^{(2)} - \lambda w_{0,2}^{(4)} & \dots & a_{M-1,M-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= 1 - \lambda \omega_{1,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-l+1}^{(3)} - \lambda \omega_{1,2}^{(2)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M}^{(4)}, \\ a_{22} &= 1 - \lambda \omega_{1,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-l+1}^{(3)} - \lambda \omega_{1,3}^{(2)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-1}^{(4)}, \\ &\dots, \\ a_{M-1,M-1} &= 1 - \lambda \omega_{1,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M-l+1}^{(3)} - \lambda \omega_{1,M}^{(2)} - \lambda \omega_{1,2}^{(4)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, with $E = I_{(M-1) \times (M-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{l,l-1} &= (-\lambda\omega_{2,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{0,M-l+1}^{(3)})E, \quad l = 2, 3, \dots, M-1, \\
A_{l,l-2} &= -\lambda w_{3,l+1}^{(1)}E, \quad l = 3, 4, \dots, M-1, \\
&\dots\dots\dots \\
A_{l,l-(M-2)} &= -\lambda w_{M-1,l+1}^{(1)}E, \quad l = M-1, \\
A_{l,l+1} &= (-\lambda\omega_{0,l+1}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{2,M-l+1}^{(3)})E, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, M-2 \\
A_{l,l+2} &= -\lambda\omega_{3,M-l+1}^{(3)}E, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, M-3 \\
&\dots\dots\dots \\
A_{l,M-l} &= -\lambda\omega_{M-1,M-l+1}^{(3)}E, \quad l = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall show that there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$(24) \quad \|A^{-1}\| \leq 1.$$

Assume (24) holds at the moment, we have, by (23), noting that $n\tau = t_n \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{n+1}\| &\leq \|A^{-1}\|(\|e^n\| + \tau\|R^{n+1}\|) \leq \|e^n\| + \tau\|R^{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \dots \\
&\leq \|e^0\| + ((n+1)\tau) \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|R^n\| \leq C(\tau + h^\beta + h^{3-\alpha}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $e^0 = 0$.

It remains to show (24). It suffices to show all the eigenvalues of A are greater than or equal to 1, which implies that all the eigenvalues of A^{-1} are less than or equal to 1. If all the eigenvalues of A^{-1} are less than or equal to 1, then there exists some norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\|A^{-1}\| \leq 1$ [19]. To show all the eigenvalues of A are greater than or equal to 1, we may use the well-known Greschgorin Lemma.

Let

$$r_l = \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^{(M-1)^2} |a_{l,k}|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1 &= \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(1)} + \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(2)} + \lambda(\omega_{2,M}^{(4)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(4)}) + \lambda(\omega_{2,M}^{(3)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(3)}), \\
a_{1,1} &= 1 - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(4)}, \\
r_2 &= \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(1)} + \lambda(\omega_{0,3}^{(3)} + \omega_{2,3}^{(2)}) + \lambda(\omega_{0,M-1}^{(4)} + 0 + \omega_{2,M-1}^{(4)} + \dots + \omega_{M-2,M-1}^{(4)}) \\
&\quad + \lambda(\omega_{2,M}^{(3)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(3)}), \\
a_{2,2} &= 1 - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,3}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M-1}^{(4)}, \\
&\dots\dots \\
r_{(M-1)^2} &= \lambda(\omega_{2,M}^{(1)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(1)}) + \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(3)} \\
&\quad + \lambda(\omega_{2,M}^{(2)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(2)}) + \lambda\omega_{0,2}^{(4)} \\
a_{(M-1)^2, (M-1)^2} &= 1 - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(1)} - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(3)} - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(4)} - \lambda\omega_{1,M}^{(2)} - \lambda\omega_{1,2}^{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{1,1} - r_1 &= 1 - \lambda(\omega_{0,2}^{(1)} + \omega_{1,2}^{(1)}) - \lambda(\omega_{0,2}^{(2)} + \omega_{1,2}^{(2)}) \\
&\quad - \lambda(\omega_{1,M}^{(3)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(3)}) - \lambda(\omega_{1,M}^{(4)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(4)}) \\
a_{2,2} - r_2 &= 1 - \lambda(\omega_{0,2}^{(1)} + \omega_{1,2}^{(1)}) - \lambda(\omega_{0,3}^{(2)} + \omega_{1,3}^{(2)} + \omega_{2,3}^{(2)}) \\
&\quad - \lambda(\omega_{1,M}^{(3)} + \dots + \omega_{M-1,M}^{(3)}) - \lambda(\omega_{0,M}^{(4)} + \dots + \omega_{M-2,M-1}^{(4)}) \\
&\dots\dots \\
a_{(M-1)^2, (M-1)^2} - r_{(M-1)^2} &= 1 - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \omega_{i,M}^{(1)} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \omega_{i,M}^{(2)} \\
&\quad - \lambda(\omega_{0,2}^{(3)} + \omega_{1,2}^{(3)}) - \lambda(\omega_{0,2}^{(4)} + \omega_{1,2}^{(4)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we get

$$a_{l,l} - r_l > 1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, (M-1)^2,$$

which implies that all the eigenvalues μ of A satisfy, by Gerschgorin Lemma,

$$1 < a_{l,l} - r_l < \mu < a_{l,l} + r_l,$$

that is, all the eigenvalues μ of A are greater than 1 which implies (24).

Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 1.

□

3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We shall consider in this section four numerical examples to illustrate that the numerical results are consistent with our theoretical results.

Example 1. Consider, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$, [7]

(25)

$$u_t(t, x, y) = {}^R_0D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_0D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y) + f(t, x, y), \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

(26)

$$u(t, 0, y) = u(t, 2, y) = u(t, x, 0) = u(t, x, 2) = 0,$$

(27)

$$u(0, x, y) = 4x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) = & -4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2 \\ & -4e^{-t}(y^2(2-y)^2) \left(4\frac{\Gamma(2+1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+1)}x^{2-\alpha} \right. \\ & \quad \left. -4\frac{\Gamma(3+1)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha+1)}x^{3-\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(4+1)}{\Gamma(4-\alpha+1)}x^{4-\alpha} \right) \\ & -4e^{-t}(x^2(2-x)^2) \left(4\frac{\Gamma(2+1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+1)}y^{2-\alpha} \right. \\ & \quad \left. -4\frac{\Gamma(3+1)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha+1)}y^{3-\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(4+1)}{\Gamma(4-\alpha+1)}y^{4-\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $u(t, x, y) = 4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2$ is the exact solution.

Note that the error estimate satisfies, by Theorem 1, with $\gamma = \min(3-\alpha, \beta)$,

$$\|e^N\| = \|U^N - u(t_N)\| \leq C(\tau + h^\gamma).$$

In the numerical method (16), we simply ignore the errors $\sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}$ in (11) which are produced by the shifted terms. Of course, if we use the numerical methods (16) to calculate the approximate solutions, the spatial error should be $O(h^\beta + h^{3-\alpha})$. Since the exact solutions are given in our numerical examples, the errors $\sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}$ in (11) produced by the shifted terms can be calculated exactly. Thus the convergence order should be $O(h^{3-\alpha})$ if we include $\sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}$ in the numerical method (16). In general, we do not know the exact solutions of the equation. In such case we may approximate $\sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}$ using the computed solutions U^n to improve the convergence orders. In all our numerical simulations in this section, the numerical method (16) will include $\sigma_{l,m}^{n+1}$ defined by (11), which makes the experimentally determined convergence order (EOC) independent of $\beta > 0$.

We will observe the convergence orders with respect to the space step size. To see this, we shall choose sufficiently small time step size $\tau = 2^{-10}$ and the different space step sizes $h_l = 2^{-l}$, $l = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ such that the computational error is dominated by space step size $O(h^{3-\alpha})$, $1 < \alpha < 2$. Denote $\|e_l^N\| = \|U^N - u(t_N)\|$ the L^2 norm of the error at $t_N = 1$ calculated with the step size h_l . We then have

$$(28) \quad \|e_l^N\| \approx Ch_l^\gamma, \quad l = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\|e_l^N\|}{\|e_{l+1}^N\|} \approx \frac{h_l^\gamma}{h_{l+1}^\gamma} = 2^\gamma.$$

Hence the convergence order satisfies

$$(29) \quad \gamma \approx \log_2 \left(\frac{\|e_l^N\|}{\|e_{l+1}^N\|} \right).$$

The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) for the numerical method (16) are provided in Table 1 with respect to the different α . We observe that the convergence order is indeed $O(h^{3-\alpha})$ which is consistent with Theorem 1.

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	1.4909	1.5103	1.4715	1.5439
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	1.5863	1.4998	1.3632	1.3251
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	1.7068	1.5197	1.3562	1.2468
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	1.8136	1.6285	1.3504	1.1915

TABLE 1. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 1 by using the numerical method (16) at $t = 1$

Next we solve the equation in Example 1 by using the finite difference method introduced in Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] where the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives are approximated by using Grünwald-Letnikov formula which requires the solution of the equation satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, see some other shifted and weighted Grünwald difference operator to approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative in [23]. The convergence order of the finite difference method in [1] is $O(h)$ and we indeed observe this in Table 2 for solving (33)-(35). From now on we call the finite difference method in Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] as “the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method”.

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	0.8770	0.9630	1.1981	1.0765
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	0.9204	0.9987	1.0868	1.0692
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	0.9671	1.0104	1.0440	1.0946
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	0.9892	1.0033	1.0156	1.0676

TABLE 2. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 2 by using the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method at $t = 1$

Example 2. In this example, we will consider the following space fractional partial differential equation with non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$,

(30)

$$u_t(t, x, y) = {}^R D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y) + f(t, x, y), \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

(31)

$$u(t, 0, y) = u(t, 2, y) = u(t, x, 0) = u(t, x, 2) = 5,$$

(32)

$$u(0, x, y) = 4x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2 + 5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) = & -4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2 \\ & - 4e^{-t}y^2(2-y)^2 \left(4 \frac{\Gamma(2+1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+1)} x^{2-\alpha} - 4 \frac{\Gamma(3+1)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha+1)} x^{3-\alpha} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Gamma(4+1)}{\Gamma(4-\alpha+1)} x^{4-\alpha} \right) + 5 \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} x^{-\alpha} \\ & - 4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2 \left(4 \frac{\Gamma(2+1)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+1)} y^{2-\alpha} - 4 \frac{\Gamma(3+1)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha+1)} y^{3-\alpha} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Gamma(4+1)}{\Gamma(4-\alpha+1)} y^{4-\alpha} \right) + 5 \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} y^{-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $u(t, x, y) = 4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2 + 5$ is the exact solution of the equation.

In Table 3, we show the convergence orders by using the numerical method (16). We see that for some α , the convergence orders can reach to $O(h^{3-\alpha})$ and for some other α the convergence orders are less than $O(h^{3-\alpha})$. But in most cases the convergence orders of the numerical method (16) are great than 1 for solving (30)-(32) with the non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

In Table 4, we use “the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method ”introduced in Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] for solving (30)-(32). We observe that the convergence orders are very low because of the non-homogeneous boundary conditions. From Tables 3 and 4, we observe that the numerical method (16) introduced in this paper has higher order convergence than “the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method ”introduced in Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] for solving space fractional partial differential equations with non-homogeneous boundary conditions.

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	1.4520	1.4697	1.5579	1.6512
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	1.4488	1.2906	1.2436	1.2130
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	1.3786	1.1049	0.9793	1.0137
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	1.0677	0.8299	0.7031	0.7099

TABLE 3. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 2 by using (16) at $t = 1$

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	0.7921	0.3548	0.6170	1.1869
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	0.5444	0.2348	0.2738	0.5478
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	0.4145	0.2604	0.2348	0.2764
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	0.3811	0.3291	0.2780	0.1949

TABLE 4. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 2 by using the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method at $t = 1$

In the next example, we shall investigate the convergence orders of the numerical method (16) for solving space fractional partial differential equations where the solutions of the equations are not sufficiently smooth.

Example 3. Consider, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$, [7]

(33)

$$u_t(t, x, y) = {}^R_0D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_0D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y) + f(t, x, y), \quad 0 < x < 1, t > 0$$

(34)

$$u(t, 0, y) = 0, \quad u(t, 1, y) = e^{-t}y^{\alpha_1}, \quad u(t, x, 0) = 0, \quad u(t, x, 1) = e^{-t}x^{\alpha_1},$$

(35)

$$u(0, x, y) = x^{\alpha_1}y^{\alpha_1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) = & -e^{-t}x^{\alpha_1}y^{\alpha_1} - e^{-t}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1 - \alpha)}x^{\alpha_1 - \alpha}y^{\alpha_1} \\ & - e^{-t}x^{\alpha_1}y^{\alpha_1} - e^{-t}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1 - \alpha)}y^{\alpha_1 - \alpha}x^{\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the exact solution has the form $u(t, x, y) = e^{-t}x^{\alpha_1}y^{\alpha_1}$. We will consider two different α_1 : the nonsmooth solution case with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ and the smooth solution case with $\alpha_1 = 3$.

For the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, we have, there exists some constant C ,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^R_0D_x^\alpha(x^{\alpha_1}) &= D^2\left({}^R_0D_x^{\alpha-2}\right)(x^{\alpha_1}) = D^2\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_0^x(x-\tau)^{1-\alpha}\tau^{\alpha_1}d\tau \\ &= CD^2(x^2) = C, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the following Lipschitz condition holds

$$\left| {}^R_0D_x^\alpha u(t, x^*, y) - {}^R_0D_y^\alpha u(t, x^{**}, y) \right| = 0 \leq C|x^* - x^{**}|^\beta,$$

for any $\beta > 0$.

In Table 5, we obtain the experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) for the different $\alpha = 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8$. Since the solution is not sufficiently smooth, the convergence orders are less than $O(h^{3-\alpha})$ as we expected.

For the smooth solution case with $\alpha_1 = 3$, in Table 6, we observe that the convergence orders are almost $3 - \alpha$ as we expected.

In our final example, we consider a two-sided space fractional partial differential equation.

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
		$\alpha_1 = 1.2$	$\alpha_1 = 1.4$	$\alpha_1 = 1.6$	$\alpha_1 = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	1.2991	1.1489	1.0475	0.9683
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	1.4649	1.3452	1.1984	1.0647
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	1.4415	1.4278	1.2676	1.1459
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	1.2202	1.4328	1.3292	1.1451

TABLE 5. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 3 for $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ by using (16) at $t = 1$

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
Δt	h	$\alpha_1 = 3$	$\alpha_1 = 3$	$\alpha_1 = 3$	$\alpha_1 = 3$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	1.3705	1.2376	1.1502	1.1045
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	1.5800	1.3891	1.3358	1.1011
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	1.7163	1.5028	1.3109	1.1540
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	1.8497	1.5694	1.3605	1.1700

TABLE 6. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 3 for $\alpha_1 = 3$ by using (16) at $t = 1$

Example 4. Consider, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$, [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad u_t(t, x, y) &= {}^R_0 D_x^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_x D_2^\alpha u(t, x, y) \\
 (37) \quad &+ {}^R_0 D_y^\alpha u(t, x, y) + {}^R_y D_2^\alpha u(t, x, y) + f(t, x, y), \quad 0 < t < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad u(t, 0, y) &= u(t, 2, y) = u(t, x, 0) = u(t, x, 2) = 0, \\
 u(0, x) &= 4x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $u(t, x, y) = 4e^{-t}x^2(2-x)^2y^2(2-y)^2$ is the exact solution. .

In Table 7, we observe that the convergence orders of the numerical method (16) for solving this equation are also $O(h^{3-\alpha})$ as we expected.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we construct a new and reliable finite difference method for solving space fractional partial differential equations. The error estimates are proved and the convergence order of the numerical method

Δt	h	$\alpha = 1.2$	$\alpha = 1.4$	$\alpha = 1.6$	$\alpha = 1.8$
2^{-10}	2^{-3}				
2^{-10}	2^{-4}	1.3792	1.2481	1.1791	1.1394
2^{-10}	2^{-5}	1.5534	1.2668	1.1878	1.1418
2^{-10}	2^{-6}	1.6798	1.4201	1.2567	1.1300
2^{-10}	2^{-7}	1.8092	1.5080	1.2061	1.1891

TABLE 7. The experimentally determined orders of convergence (EOC) in Example 3 for $\alpha_1 = 3$ by using (16) at $t = 1$

depends on the smoothness of the solution of the equation. The convergence orders are proved for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Numerical examples show that the proposed numerical method in this paper has much higher convergence order than the shifted Grünwald-Letnikov method proposed in Meerschaert and Tadjeran [1] for solving space fractional partial differential equations with non-homogeneous boundary conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Y. Wang's research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Luliang University (XN201510).

REFERENCES

- [1] Meerschaert M.M., Tadjeran C., Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* **56**:80-90, 2006.
- [2] Lubich C., Discretized fractional calculus, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17**:704-719, 1986.
- [3] Diethelm K., Generalised compound quadrature formulae for finite-part integrals, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **17**:479-493, 1997.
- [4] Diethelm K., An algorithm for the numerical solution of differential equations of fractional order, *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis*, **5**:1-6, 1997.
- [5] Li C., Zeng F., Numerical Methods for Fractional Calculus, Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York, 2015.
- [6] Chen M., Deng W., Fourth order difference approximations for space Riemann-Liouville derivatives based on weighted and shifted Lubich difference operators, *Comm. Comput. Phys.* **16**:516-540, 2014.
- [7] Choi H.W., Chung S.K. Lee Y.J., Numerical solutions for space fractional dispersion equations with nonlinear source terms, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **47**:1225-1234, 2010.
- [8] Ford N.J., Pal K., Yan Y., An algorithm for the numerical solution of two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* **15**:497-514, 2015.

- [9] Ford N.J., Rodrigues M.M., Xiao J., Yan Y., Numerical analysis of a two-parameter fractional telegraph equation, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **249**:95-106, 2013.
- [10] Ford N.J., Xiao J., Yan Y., Stability of a numerical method for space-time-fractional telegraph equation, *Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, **12**:1-16, 2012.
- [11] Ilic M., Liu F., Turner I., Anh V., Numerical approximation of a fractional-in-space diffusion equation II: with non-homogeneous boundary conditions, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **9**:333-349, 2006.
- [12] Li C., Zeng F., Finite difference methods for fractional differential equations, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* **22**:1230014, 2012.
- [13] Liu F., Chen S., Turner I., Burrage K., Anh V., Numerical simulation for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional diffusion equations with a nonlinear reaction term, *Cent. Eur. J. Phys.* **11**: 1221-1232, 2013.
- [14] Liu F., Zhuang P., Turner I., Anh V., Burrage K., A semi-alternating direction method for a 2-D fractional FitzHugh-Nagumo monodomain model on an approximate irregular domain, *J. Comput. Phys.* **293**:252-263, 2015.
- [15] Lynch V.E., Carreras B.A., del-Castillo-Negrete D., Ferreira-Mejias K.M., Hicks H.R., Numerical methods for the solution of partial differential equations of fractional order, *J. Comput. Phys.* **192**:406-442., 2003.
- [16] Meerschaert M.M., Benson D.A., Baeumer B., Multidimensional advection and fractional dispersion, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**:5026-5028, 1999.
- [17] Meerschaert M.M., Tadjeran C., Finite difference approximations for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **172**:65-77, 2004.
- [18] Meerschaert M.M., Scheffler H., Tadjeran C., Finite difference methods for two-dimensional fractional dispersion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.* **211**:249-261, 2006.
- [19] Shen S., Liu F., Error analysis of an explicit finite difference approximation for the space fractional diffusion equation with insulated ends, *ANZIAM J.* **46**:C871-C887, 2005.
- [20] Tadjeran C., Meerschaert M.M., Scheffler H., A second-order accurate numerical approximation for the fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.* **213**:205-213, 2006.
- [21] Tadjeran C., Meerschaert M.M., A second-order accurate numerical method for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.* **220**:813-823, 2007.
- [22] Chen M., Deng W., Fourth order scheme for the space fractional diffusion equations, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **52**:1418-1438, 2014.
- [23] Tian W., Zhou H., Deng W., A class of second order difference approximations for solving space fractional diffusion equations, *Math. Comp.* **84**:1703-1727, 2015.
- [24] Yan Y., Pal K., Ford N.J., Higher order numerical methods for solving fractional differential equations, *BIT Numer Math* **54**:555-584, 2014.
- [25] Yang Q., Liu F., Turner I., Stability and convergence of an effective numerical method for the time-space fractional Fokker-Planck equation with a nonlinear source term, *Int. J. Diff. Eqs.* Article ID 464321, 22 pages, 2010.

- [26] Zhang N, Deng W., Wu Y., Finite difference/element method for a twodimensional modified fractional diffusion equation, *Adv. Appl. Math. Mech.* **4**:496-518, 2012.
- [27] Zeng F., Liu F., Li C., Burrage K., Turner I., Anh V., A Crank-Nicolson ADI spectral method for a two-dimensional Riesz space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **52**:2599-2622, 2014.
- [28] Bu W., Tang Y., Yang J., Galerkin finite element method for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional diffusion equations, *J. Comput. Phys.* **276**:26-38, 2014.
- [29] Bu W., Tang Y., Wu Y., Yang J., Crank-Nicolson ADI Galerkin finite element method for two-dimensional fractional FitzHugh-Nagumo monodomain model, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257**:355-364, 2015.
- [30] Bu W., Tang Y., Wu Y., Yang J., Finite difference/finite element method for two-dimensional space and time fractional Bloch-Torrey equations, *J. Comput. Phys.* **293**:264-279, 2015.
- [31] Deng W., Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47**:204-226, 2008.
- [32] Deng W., Hesthaven J.S., Discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional diffusion equations, *ESAIM:M2AN* **47**: 1845-1864, 2013.
- [33] Liu Y., Yan Y., M. Khan, Discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for solving linear space fractional partial differential equations, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* **115**: 200-213, 2017.
- [34] Li Z., Liang Z., Yan Y., High-order numerical methods for solving time fractional partial differential equations, *Journal of Scientific Computing* **71**: 785-803, 2017.
- [35] Ervin V.J., Roop J.P., Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **22**:558-576, 2006.
- [36] Ervin V.J., Roop J.P., Variational solution of fractional advection dispersion equations on bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^d , *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **23**:256-281, 2007.
- [37] Ervin V.J., Heuer N., Roop J.P., Numerical approximation of a time dependent nonlinear, space-fractional diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45**:572-591, 2007.
- [38] Fix G.J., Roop J.P., Least squares finite-element solution of a fractional order two-point boundary value problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **48**:1017-1033, 2004.
- [39] Li X.J., Xu C.J., A space-time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47**:2108-2131, 2009.
- [40] Li X.J., Xu C.J., Existence and uniqueness of the weak solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation and a spectral method approximation, *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **8**:1016-1051, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LULIANG UNIVERSITY, 38 BINGHE NORTH
EAST ROAD, LULIANG, SHANXI, 033000, P. R. CHINA, THIS WORK WAS SUP-
PORTED BY THE NATURAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION OF LULIANG UNIVERSITY (XN201510)

E-mail address: y.wang@llhc.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHESTER, CH1 4BJ, UK,
DR. YUBIN YAN IS THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR.

E-mail address: y.yan@chester.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LULIANG UNIVERSITY, 38 BINGHE NORTH
EAST ROAD, LULIANG, SHANXI, 033000, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: 573550213@qq.com